



CITY OF TORRINGTON
Addendum # 1

ADDENDUM #1 IS BEING ISSUED REGARDING QUESTIONS SUBMITTED WITH ANSWERS TO FOLLOW. ALSO LIST OF PRE-BID WALK-THROUGH ATTENDEES INCLUDED.

RFP #NTG-110-021617 CITY OF TORRINGTON - NAUGATUCK RIVER GREENWAY

Bid opening: **February 16, 2017 Time: 11:00 AM Location: City Hall, 140 Main St., Room 206, Torrington, CT**

Submit signed addenda with bid.

The City of Torrington reserves the right to accept or reject any or all bids or any portion thereof, to waive technicalities, and to award the contract as will best serve the public interest.

Dated in Torrington: February 7, 2017

Purchasing Agent _____
Pennie Zucco

Bid Submitted By: _____

Name of Company _____

Signature _____

Date _____

Title _____

Questions & Answers 2/7/17

Q1) Has an environmental screening been done by DEEP or the City to determine presence of species of concern (NDDDB), or archeological features? If not, is this to be included in the proposal?

A1) This is not applicable to this project

Q2) Have wetlands been mapped? If not will the City do this or is this to be included in the proposal?

A2) Identifying wetlands soils in the field, by a soil scientist, is not part of this proposal. However, the consultant will be required to identify the location of the Naugatuck River on the Plans.

Q3) Will a Flood Management Certificate be required?

A3) All documentation required by USACE for the 408 request will be required.

Q4) Please confirm that the City wants the consultant to design for all the desired improvements even if the projected construction costs exceeds the available funds.

A4) The entire length of greenway is to be designed as summarized in the RFP.

Q5) Can the topographic survey be T-3 from a new aerial flight at 20 scale with 1' contour intervals?

A5) A topographic survey must be performed by an on the ground survey to T-2 standards where topographic survey is required in the RFP. Topographic survey is required in the area where greenway improvements are proposed; topographic survey is not required on the entire parcel.

Q6) For the on-street sections, what is the lateral extent of the topographic survey?

A6) No topographic survey is required for the on street portion of the Greenway

Q7) Given the extent of the dike and the cost to perform an A-2 boundary survey described in the RFP, can the A-2 survey be limited to those parcels where the boundary is questionable after a search of the land records?

A7) A-2 boundary survey is required for all parcels that involve improvement, as specified in the RFP; specifically sections 2, 3 & 4.

Q8) Please confirm that in locations where the greenway runs along public streets (from Franklin Street to the Torrington Senior Center) the trail will utilize the existing sidewalks and roadway shoulders where feasible and no roadway/sidewalk widening is proposed as part of this project?

A8) We do not anticipate any roadway or sidewalk widening.

Q9) Please provide clarification in the intersection improvements proposed at East Albert Street and Park Ave. to provide an upgraded pedestrian crossing. Will these improvements be limited to the installation of handicap accessible ramps and crosswalks or will they included additional intersection design such as installing a signalized intersection with push-to-walk buttons, etc.?

A9) The improvements proposed for this project will be handicap accessible ramps, crosswalks, sidewalks and signage.

Q10) Please advise if there is any existing NEPA documentation that may be utilized in the USACOE 408 process, and if so, the extent of that documentation so that level of effort may be determined for purposes of this project.

A10) There is currently no NEPA documentation available. We do not believe that it will be a required submission for the 408 request per our conversations with the USACE.

Q11) In the RFQ (page 10-item number 6) it asks for inclusion in the separate sealed fee proposal to include prices for additional services for additional meetings with Staff, USACE and residents as needed. Would the City like this as an hourly rate or cost per meeting?

A11) City prefers an hourly rate. If there are any additional cost, such as mileage, it should be included as well.

Q12) In the RFQ (page 10-item number 6) it asks for inclusion in the separate sealed fee proposal to include prices for additional services for additional attendance at public meetings for approval of project. Would the City like this as an hourly rate or cost per meeting?

A12) City prefers an hourly rate. If there are any additional cost, such as mileage, it should be included as well.

Q13) In the RFQ (page 10 -under Possible Future Options) it asks for inclusion in the separate sealed fee proposal to include an hourly rate for Oversight of Construction. Is the City seeking an hourly rate for Construction Administration Service, Construction Inspection Service or Both?

A13) Include an hourly rate for both services

Q14) In reading the RFQ it appears that Bidding Phase Services (bidding and negotiation assistances) is not mentioned. Does the City require a fee or hourly rate for this phase service?

A14) This is not required.

Q15) In the RFQ Section IV (page 10-item number 6) indicates submission of review plans at 30%, 50%, 90%, and final design. In addition to City staff, what other entities will be reviewing each of these submissions?

A15) City staff will primarily review the submission of these plans and provide technical input. Torrington Trails Network, a volunteer organization, is a co-applicant on the CT DEEP grant awarded for this project;

they will be kept up to date on the project and given the opportunity to review the plans; however, the consultant will work with and take input directly from City Staff.

Q16) Can all submissions, prior to the final CDs, be submitted in digital format?

A16) No, 2 paper copies of each submission will be required.

Q17) How many community presentations and listening sessions will be required?

A17) The RFP Section IV, item 4 states the consultant should attend at least 2 community meetings. Any additional community meetings will be considered additional services.

Q18) How wide an area shall the survey encompass? Shall it extend from the low water mark upland to the adjoining property line? Should the survey include the Senior Center parking lot and the paved areas on both sides to assess any potential drainage issues?

A18) A-2 boundary survey is required for all parcels that involve improvement, as specified in the RFP; specifically sections 2, 3 & 4. A topographic survey must be performed by an on the ground survey to T-2 standards where topographic survey is required in the RFP. Topographic survey is required in the area where greenway improvements are proposed; topographic survey is not required on the entire parcel.

Q19) Should the survey extend down any dead end roads that may be considered neighborhood connection points? For example, Linden Street between the levee and Park Avenue?

A19) This is not part of the proposed project.

Q20) Who within the City of Torrington will be responsible for reviewing and approving submitted materials?

A20) Engineering Department and Land Use Office will be the primary departments responsible for review of the materials. However, submissions may be forwarded to other City Departments for review where applicable.

Clarifications/information

Attached is the list of pre-bid meeting attendees.

ATTENDEES: NTG-110-021617 CITY OF TORRINGTON - NAUGATUCK RIVER GREENWAY

| COMPANY NAME | ATTENDEE | EMAIL ADDRESS |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| D&B Engineers & Architects | Rob DeGiorgio | RDeGiorgio@db-eng.com |
| BSC Group | Rob Pinckney | rpinckney@bscgroup.com |
| Weston & Sampson | Bill Storn | stormw@wseinc.com |
| BL Companies | Josh Egratz | jegratz@blcompanies.com |
| Anchor Engineering | Marek Kement | mkement@anchorengr.com |
| Martinez Couch | Ariel Martinez | ariel.martinez@martinezcouch.com |
| CME Associates | Wayne Bugden | wbugden@cmeengineering.com |
| MKM Landscape | Anne Vaterlaus | avaterlaus@gmail.com |
| Freeman Companies | Yuyang Lin | ylin@freemancos.com |
| CME Associates | Scott Young | syoung@cmeengineering.com |
| MMI | Vince McDermott | vmcdermott@mminc.com |
| Berkshire Engineering & Surveying | Steve Latour | berkshiresal@aim.com |
| | | |
| | | |